IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 15069 of 2005**

Between:

1 K. Sudhakar Sarma, S/o. Satyanarayana,
Issapalem (V), Narasaropet (M) Guntur District,
2 N. Kalikrishna, S/o. Srikrishna Parmatma
Issapalem (V), Narasaropet (M) Guntur District,

**..... PETITIONERS**

AND

1 The Regional Joint Commissioner of Endowments, Multi Zone-II,

Tirupati.
2 The Deputy Commissioner of Endowments, Guntur
3 Sri Tangirala Anjaneyulu, Archaka, S/o. Not known,
R/o. Cheruvu 3rd Lane, Near: Siva Guest House, Narsaraopet,

Guntur District,
4 The Person-in-Management, Sri Mahankali Ammavari Temple,
Issapalem (V), Narsaraopet (M), Guntur District,

**.....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction, more particularly a Writ in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st Respondent i.e, the Regional Joint Commissioner of Endowments, MZ-II, Tirupati in not hearing and disposing of the R.P.No.9 of 2005 as bad, illegal, arbitrary and oppose to law.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.M.VIDYASAGAR**

**Counsel for the Respondents 1, 2 and 4: GP FOR ENDOWMENTS**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.3: MR.B.CHANDRA SEKHAR**

**The Court at the stage of admission made the following:**

**ORDER:**

This writ petition is filed by the petitioners aggrieved by the non-disposal of the revision petition filed before the first respondent which was numbered as R.P.No.9 of 2005 filed assailing the order passed by the Deputy Commissioner of Endowments, Guntur dated 21-04-2005 under which the third respondent was ordered to be engaged by the Manager of the temple as Archaka on Friday and Sunday on remuneration of Rs.70/- per day.

**2.** It is stated that assailing the order of the second respondent, they filed a revision petition and also sought for interim stay of the order of the Deputy Commissioner-the second respondent. The revisional authority, in fact, passed an order on 28-05-2005 granting stay for a period of two weeks. Thereafter, the revisional authority did not either extended the stay order or disposed of the revision petition itself. Therefore, the petitioners have come up with the present writ petition.

**3.** Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Pleader as well as the caveator, who is appearing for the third respondent.

**4.** As the revision filed by the petitioners is pending before the first respondent and stay is granted for a period of two weeks from 28-05-2005 which is stated not to have been extended further, the first respondent is directed to dispose of the revision expeditiously, preferably, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Pending disposal of the revision, the stay order granted on 28-05-2005 shall continue.

**5.** Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

---

S.ANANDA REDDY, J

13th July, 2005

**Note:**

-

Furnish C.C. within one week.

B/o.

SKM
To

1 The Regional Joint Commissioner of Endowments, Multi Zone-II,

Tirupati.
2 The Deputy Commissioner of Endowments, Guntur
3 The Person-in-Management, Sri Mahankali Ammavari Temple,
Issapalem (V), Narsaraopet (M), Guntur District,

4 Two C.Cs. to G.P. for Endowments, High Court Buildings,

High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)

5 Two CD Copies.